# United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service

OMB No. 0578-0030 NRCS-PDM-20

## DAMAGE SURVEY REPORT (DSR) Emergency Watershed Protection Program - Recovery

Section 1A		NRCS Entry ( Eligible:	YES 🔀	NO 🗔
Date of Report: 03/02/2006		Approved:	YES E	NO II Section 4) Iade
DSR Number: 105-05-027K Project Number:		Limited Resor	iry Number (from	NO X
Sponsor Name: Consolidated Gravity Drainage District #1	sor Info	rmation		
Address: P.O. Box 31				
City/State/Zip: Hammond, LA 70404				
Telephone Number: (985) 542-4292 Fax: (985) 34	45-1821			
Section 1C Site Loc	cation In	formation		
County: Tangipahoa State: LA	Cong	gressional Distr	rict: 01	
Latitude: see comments Longitude: see comments	Section:	To Child Li	ownship: 78	Range:
UTM Coordinates: 15		•		
Drainage Name: W3-L6C	Reach:	5,905 Ft.		
Damage Description: Debris is reducing the capacity of Channel. D	rainage is	s severely impe	eded. Debris cons	sists mostly of trees.
Section 1D Sit	te Evalua	tion		
All answers in this Section must be YES in order to be eligible for E	WP assis	tance.		
Site Eligibility	YES	NO	R	emarks
Damage was a result of a natural disaster?*	<b>V</b>		Hurricane Katrina - Aug	
Recovery measures would be for runoff retardation or soil erosion prevention?*	<b>V</b>			
Threat to life and/or property?*	<b>V</b>			
Event caused a sudden impairment in the watershed?*	7			
Imminent threat was created by this event?**	<b>V</b>			
For structural repairs, not repaired twice within ten years?**	<b>V</b>			-
Site Defensibility	778 77777	123 M 1237 48 22 68 70 ft 60	Zassalosas aspetuarias	
Economic, environmental, and social documentation adequate to warrant action (Go to pages 3, 4, 5 and 6 ***)	<b></b>			
Proposed action technically viable? (Go to Page 9 ***)	<b>V</b>	1,		
Have all the appropriate steps been taken to ensure that all segments program and its possible effects? YES V NO V N	المرجوميرة	fected populati	on have been info	ormed of the EWP

<sup>\*</sup> Statutory

\*\* Regulation

\*\*\* DSR Pages 3 through 5 are required to support the decisions recorded on this summary page. If additional space is needed on this or any other page in this form, add appropriate pages.

DSR NO:

#### Section 1E Proposed Action

Describe the preferred alternative from Findings: Section 5 A:

Proposed Action- Debris removal with conventional equipment from channel and banks. Dispose on Drainage District #1 right-of-way. Work one side only.

Total installation cost identified in this DSR: Section 3: \$

Section IF NRCS State Office Review and Approval

Reviewed By:

Approved By:

Date Reviewed: 3/23/06

Next Date Approved: 3/24/06

### PRIVACY ACT AND PUBLIC BURDEN STATEMENT

NOTE: The following statement is made in accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974, (5 U.S.C. 552a) and the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, as amended. The authority for requesting the following information is 7 CFR 524 (EWP) and Section 216 of the Flood Control Act of 1950, Public Law 81-516, 33 U.S.C. 701b-1; and Section 403 of the Agricultural Credit Act of 1978, Public Law 95334, as amended by Section 382, of the Federal Agriculture Improvement and Reform Act of 1996, Public Law 104-127, 16 U.S.C. 2203. EWP, through local sponsors, provides emergency measures for runoff retardation and erosion control to areas where a sudden impairment of a watershed threatens life or property. The Secretary of Agriculture has delegated the administration of EWP to the Chief or NRCS on state, tribal and private lands.

Signing this form indicates the sponsor concurs and agrees to provide the regional cost-share to implement the EWP recovery measure(s) determined eligible by NRCS under the terms and conditions of the program authority. Failure to provide a signature will result in the applicant being unable to apply for or receive a grant the applicable program authorities. Once signed by the sponsor, this information may not be provided to other agencies. IRS, Department of Justice, or other State or Federal Law Enforcement agencies, and in response to a court or administrative tribunal.

The provisions of criminal and civil fraud statutes, including 18 U.S.C. 286, 287, 371, 641, 651, 1001; 15 U.S.C. 714m; and 31 U.S.C. 3729 may also be applicable to the information provided. According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0578-0030. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 117/1.96 minutes/hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, field reviews, gathering, designing, and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection information.

### USDA NONDISCRIMINATION STATEMENT

"The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, martial status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programms.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202)720-2600 (vocie and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20250-9410, or call (800)795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

#### Civil Rights Statement of Assurance

The program or activities conducted under this agreement will be in compliance with the nondiscrimination provisions contained in the Titles VI and VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended; the Civil Rights Restoration Act of 1987 (Public Law 100-259); and other nondiscrimination statutes: namely, Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Title IX of the Amendments of 1972, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, and the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990. They will also be in accordance with regulations of the Secretary of Agriculture (7 CFR 15, 15a, and 15b), which provide that no person in the United States shall on the grounds of race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age or disability, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or otherwise subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance from the U.S. Department of Agriculture or any agency thereof.

### Section 2 Environmental Evaluation

2A Resource Concerns	2B Existing Condition		C Alternative Designa	tion
Concerns	Condition	Proposed Action	No Action	Alternative
		Debris removal with conventional equipment from channel and banks. Disposal on Drain. Dist. #11 ROW. Work one side only.		Remove debris from channel and banks. Haul to approved disposal area.
	V-	2	D Effects of Alternativ	rés
Soil				
Bank erosion	ost 20 Va- steep w/ boro areas & tree uprooting	reduce bank eroslon-removing uprooted trees/natural reveget	est 20 t/a- steep w/ bare areas & tree uprooting	reduce bank erosion-removing uprooted treas/natural revegets
Water				
DO/BOD	Decreased DO/ increased BOD blockage to water	restore DO/BOD similar to prestorm	continued decrease in DO/	restore DO/BOD similar to prestorm
Overall Stream Health	SVAP = 5.8= poor	SVAP would increase > 5.8	SVAP would continue to decrease < 5.8	SVAP would increase >5,8
······································				
Air				
Air quality	Existing condition not impacting air quality	No impact to air quality	No Impact to air quality	No impact to air quality
	-			****
Plant				
Aquatic vegetation	lew plants and decreasing as water qual decreases be debris	debris removal would increase H2O quality & increase in plants	few plants & decreasing as H2O qual decreases bo debris	debris removal would increase H2O quality & increase in plants
Riparian habitat	abundant habitat some areas sparse in others-broken trees	Habitat removal for access - longterm decrease in flood risk	The risk of flood damage increases - channel blockage	beneficial in longterm
Animal		<u> </u>		
Aquatic animals	fish at some points along channel, barriers neg impact	Improve aqu animal abundance & decrease barriers to travel	Continued decline in aquetic animal life, isolation fr barriers	Improve aqu animal abundance decrease barriers to travel
Forest animals	Ecosystem supports abundant wildlife -mammals, birds, etc	temp/negligible effect of tree re- mov 4 access, longterm benefit	Longlerm risks associated with increased risk of channel flood	beneficial in longlerm
Other.				
Stream aesthetics	Aesthotic quality decreased			Improved by company debase
Riparian aesthetic	by debris and slagnant water High aesthetic value wislight	Improve by removing debris Temp decrease at access	Aesthetic quality decreased by debris and stagnant water Decrease in longterm from	Improved by removing debris beneficial in longtorm
ruality /ector	Impact -broken trees Increased insect abundance	Reduce stagnant/oreeding	cumulative impacts of debris	Reduce stagnant/breeding
	due to stagnant water		due to stagnant water	regare predications segued

DSR NO: \_\_\_\_

Section 2E Special Environmental Concerns

		ZE Special Environme		
Resource	Existing Condition		Alternatives and Effects	
Consideration		Proposed Action	No Action	Alternative
Clean Water Act Waters of the U.S.	CWA jurisdiction - debris in channel affects water flow and water quality	CWA permit required - improve water quality and restore hydrology	Continued decrease in water quality w/ debris decomposition and altered hydrology	CWA permit required - improve water quality and restore hydrology
Coastal Zone Management Areas	Located in the Coastal Zone	Consistency Authorization	Located in the Coastal Zone	Consistency Authorization
Coral Reefs	NIA	NA	N/A	N/A
Cultural Resources	(FOTG) none observed on-site	(FOTG) none observed on-site	(FOTG) none observed on-site	(FOTG) none observed on-site
Endangered and Threatened Species	None observed. 6 known and 2 possible T&E species listed for Parish in FOTG -	None observed. 6 known and 2 possible T&E species listed for Parish in FOTG -	None observed. 6 known and 2 possible T&E species listed for Parish in FOTG •	None observed. 6 known and 2 possible T&E species listed for Parish in FOTG -
Environmental Justice	NIA	N/A	N/A	N/A
Essential Fish Habitat	(FOTG) No EFH - reference NOAA	(FOTG) No EFH	(FOTG) No EFH	(FOTG) No EFH
Fish and Wildlife Coordination	State tovel review by project type with USFWS&LDWF Consultation not required for debris removal.	State level review by project type with USFWS&LDWF Consultation not requireand high numberd.	State level review by project type with USFWS&LDWF Consultation not required.	State level review by project type with USFWS&LDWF Consultation not required.
Floodplain Management	Located in 100-year flood plain. Potential Impairment of function.	site located within 100-year Roodplain restored to prestorm condition	Located in 100-year flood plain. Potential impairment of function	site located within 100-year floodplain restored to prestorm condition
Invasive Species	None noted	No effect	No effect	No effect
Migratory Birds	Abundant habitat - many observed during site visit along some points of reach	Proposed action will not have a significant adverse effect on habitat or population	Excess debris contributes to increased flooding and decreased habitat quality	No adverse effect on habitat during removal.
Natural Areas	(FOTG) None present	(FOTG) None present	(FOTG) None present	(FOTG) None present
Prime and Unique Farmlands	(FOTG) None present	N/A	N/A	N/A
Riparian Areas	Riparian habitat potential of be Impacted by flooding due to debris accumulation	Riparian habitat improved by debris removal although decrease at access points	Riparian habitat potential of be impacted by flooding due to debris accumulation	Riparian habitat improved by debris removal although decrease at access points
Scenic Beauty	(FOTG) None present - no designated areas of Scenic Beauty within project area	NIA	N/A	NIA
Wetlands	Open water containing debris. Emergent/wooded wetlands possible, not noted at visit	Debris removed from open water and adjacent to stream. Wetlands not adversely impacted.	Open water containing debris. Emergent/wooded wellands possible/may be at risk 4 flood	Debris removed from open water and adjacent to stream. Wetlands not adversely impact
Wild and Scenic Rivers	(FOTG) (LDWF scenic streams list) Not listed	(FOTG) (LDWF scenic streams list) Not listed	(FOTG) (LOWF scenic streams list) Not listed	(FOTG) (LDWF scenic streams list) Not listed

Completed	д Ву;	-	Date: _03/02/2006	
C	Honica Wallace	,	02/02/2006	
l Scenic	(FOTG) (LDWF scenic streams list) Not listed	(FOTG) (LDWF scenic streams list) Not listed	(FOTG) (LOWF scenic streams list) Not listed	(FOTG) (LDWF scenic streams II Not listed
s	possible, not noted at visit	Wetlands not adversely impacted.	possible/may be at risk 4 flood	Wetlands not adversely

### 105-05-027K

## Section 2G Social Consideration This section must be completed by each alternative considered

(attach additional sheets as necessary).

	YES	NO	Remarks
Has there been a loss of life as a result of the watershed impairment?		V	
Is there the potential for loss of life due to damages from the watershed impairment?	<u> </u>		
Has access to a hospital or medical facility been impaired by watershed impairment?	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$		Yes - many downed trees blocking access
Has the community as a whole been adversely impacted by the watershed impairment (life and property ceases to operate in a normal capacity)	<b>\</b>	100	Impairment increased flooding impacts throughout the community
Is there a lack or has there been a reduction of public safety due to watershed impairment?		an an Joseph and	
Completed By: Mike Mullennex		Date:	03/02/2006

DSR NO:	
	105-05-027K

## Section 2H Group Representation and Disability Information

This section is completed only for the preferred alternative selected.

Group Representation	Number
American Indian/Alaska Native Female Hispanic	
American Indian/Alaska Native Female Non-Hispanic	
American Indian/Alaska Native Male Hispanic	
American Indian/Alaska Native Male Non-Hispanic	
Asian Female Hispanic	
Asian Female Non-Hispanic	1
Asian Male Hispanic	
Asian Male Non-Hispanic	1
Black or African American Female Hispanic	1
Black or African American Female Non-Hispanic	18
Black or African American Male Hispanic	1
Black or African American Male Non-Hispanic	17
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Female Hispanic	
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Female Non-Hispanic	
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Male Hispanic	
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Male Non-Hispanic	
White Female Hispanic	
White Female Non-Hispanic	44
White Male Hispanic	
White Male Non-Hispanic	41
Total Group	124

Census tract(s) Tagipahoa Parish	Census Data	
Completed By: Mike Mullennex	Date:	03/02/2006

DSR NO: \_\_\_\_

Section 21. Required consultation or coordination between the lead agency and/or the RFO and another governmental unit including tribes:

Easements, permissions, or permits:

Access permission will be required.

CWA permit prior to debris removal in channel (COE).

Water Quality Certification (LDEQ)

Consistency Authorization(LDNR CMD)

### Mitigation Description:

Access debris from only one side of channel, may alternate sides of channel as appropriate to minimize work related damages/disturbances and costs. Work should be conducted along channel at access points with consideration given to the existing riparian ecosystem and residential area to minimize work related damages and disturbances. Reseeding will be used to reestablish vegetation in disturbed areas susceptible to high amounts of erosion. Debris removal will conducted during consecutive days minimize disturbance to surrounding wildlife and residents.

Agencies, persons, and references consulted, or to be consulted:

COE LDEQ LDWF LDNR CMD

St. Tammany Parish Government Private landowners DSR NO: 105-05-027K

## Section 4 NRCS EWP Funding Priority

Complete the following section to compute the funding priority for the recovery measures in this application (see instructions on page 10).

Priority Ranking Criteria	Yes	No		Ranking Number Plus Modifer
1. Is this an exigency situation?			ğ g	1 a, d, e
2. Is this a site where there is serious, but not immediate threat to human life?				
3. Is this a site where buildings, utilities, or other important infrastructure			200000000000000000000000000000000000000	
components are threatened?				
4. Is this site a funding priority established by the NRCS Chief?				
The following are modifiers for the above criteria			Modifier	
a. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve federally-listed	8	įs Š		
threatened and endangered species or critical habitat?	14.15		a	
b. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve cultural sites	17651160.4	50 60 60		
listed on the National Register of Historic Places?	100	<b>使取</b> 证明		
c. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve prime or				
important farmland?			İ	
d. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve existing	12 6 92 9			
wetlands?	3,47,623	raffessors of	d	
a. Will the proposed action or alternatives maintain or improve current water				
quality conditions?			e	
f. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve unique habitat,				
including but not limited to, areas inhabited by State-listed species, fish and	1946			
wildlife management area, or State identified sensitive habitats?		<b>全国的</b>		

Enter priority computation in Section 1A, NRCS Entry, Funding priority number.

Remarks:

DSR NO: \_\_\_\_\_105-05-027K

### Section 5A Findings

## Finding: Indicate the preferred alternative from Section 2 (Enter to Section 1E):

The proposed Action is described in Section 1E.The proposed action is the preferred alternative. The proposed action is the least costly alternative that achieves the restoration objectives, maximizes environmental benefits, and is socially acceptable.

I have considered the effects of the action and the alternatives on the Environmental Economic, Social; the Special Environmental Concerns; and the extraordinary circumstances (40 CFR 1508.27).

 Chapter	5.2.2.1.2	analyzed in the EWP PEIS (reference a	ll that apply)
Chapter			

May require the preparation of an environmental assessment or environmental impact statement. The action will be referred to the NRCS State Office on this date:

NRCS representative of the DSR team

Seil Conservationist

03/02/2006

Section 5B Comments:

Section 5C

**Sponsor Concurrence:** 

Sponsor Representative

A 1 1 1

Date: 03/21/66

Section 6 Attachments:

A. Location Map

B. Site Plan or Sketches

C. Other (explain)

## TOPO MAP DSR 105-05-027K W3-L6C

Tangipahoa Parish Estimated Total Reach Length 5,905 LF

